

THERE IS NO TAME AUTOMORPHISM OF $\mathbb{C}^3$ WITH MULTIDEGREE $(3, 4, 5)$

MAREK KARASÍ

ABSTRACT. Let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be any polynomial mapping. By multidegree of F , denoted $\text{mdeg } F$, we call the sequence of positive integers $(\deg F_1, \dots, \deg F_n)$. In this paper we address the following problem: *for which sequence $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ there is an automorphism or tame automorphism $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$* . We proved, among other things, that there is no tame automorphism $F : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (3, 4, 5)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F = (F_1, F_2) : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be any polynomial automorphism. By Jung van der Kulk theorem [1, 2] we have that $\deg F_1 \mid \deg F_2$ or $\deg F_2 \mid \deg F_1$. On the other hand if d_1, d_2 are positive integers such that $d_1 \mid d_2$ then $F = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1 &: \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x + y^{d_1}, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \\ \Phi_2 &: \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (u, w) \mapsto (u, w + u^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}}) \in \mathbb{C}^2,\end{aligned}$$

is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, d_2)$. Similarly if $d_2 \mid d_1$ we can write down the appropriate automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Thus for the sequence of positive integers (d_1, d_2) to be the multidegree of some polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ is equivalent to satisfy the condition: $d_1 \mid d_2$ or $d_2 \mid d_1$.

It seems to be natural to ask for which sequence $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ there is a polynomial automorphism $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Also, the question about existence of a tame automorphism $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is natural. Recall that a tame automorphism is, by definition, a composition of linear automorphisms and triangular automorphisms, where a triangular automorphism is a mapping of the following form

$$T : \mathbb{C}^n \ni \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 + f_2(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ x_n + f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

By $\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ we will denote the group of all tame automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$. This is, of course, a subgroup of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of all polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

It is easy to see that if there is an automorphism (or tame automorphism) $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ then there is, also, an automorphism (or tame automorphism) $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\text{mdeg } \tilde{F} = (d_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, d_{\sigma(n)})$ for

Key words and phrases. polynomial automorphism, tame automorphism, multidegree.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14Rxx, 14R10.

any permutation σ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus in our considerations, without loss of generality, we can assume that $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$.

2. SOME SIMPLE REMARKS

In this section we make some simple but useful remarks about existence of automorphism and tame automorphism with given multidegree.

Proposition 1. *If for $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ there is a sequence of integers $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$, with $m < n$, such that there exists an automorphism G of $\mathbb{C}^m$ with $\text{mdeg } G = (d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_m})$, then there exists an automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$. Moreover, if we assume that G is a tame automorphism, then there is a tame automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$.*

Proof. Let $j_1, \dots, j_{n-m} \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{n-m} \leq n$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this situation we have, of course, $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} = \emptyset$. Consider the mapping $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by the formulas

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} x_k & \text{for } k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \\ x_k + (x_{i_1})^{d_k} & \text{for } k \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} \end{cases}.$$

Of course h is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\deg h_k = d_k$ for $k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$.

Consider, also, the mapping $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by the formulas

$$g_k(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \begin{cases} G_l(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_m}) & \text{for } k = i_l \\ u_k & \text{for } k \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that g is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\deg g_k = d_k$ for $k \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\}$.

Now taking $F = g \circ h$ we obtain an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\deg F_i = d_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. $\square$

Proposition 2. *If for a sequence of integers $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that*

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j d_j \quad \text{with } k_j \in \mathbb{N},$$

then there exists a tame automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$.

Proof. Consider the following two mappings $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by the formulas

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} x_k & \text{for } k = i \\ x_k + x_i^{d_k} & \text{for } k \neq i \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_k(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \begin{cases} u_k + u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}} & \text{for } k = i \\ u_k & \text{for } k \neq i \end{cases}.$$

Now it is easy to see that h and g are automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\deg h_k = d_k$ for $k \neq i$ and $\deg g_i = d_i$. Since, also, $h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$ and $g_k(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_k$ for $k \neq i$, then it is easy to see that for the automorphism $F = g \circ h$ we have $\deg F_k = d_k$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. $\square$

Corollary 3. *If for a sequence of integers $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ we have $d_1 \leq n-1$, then there exists a tame automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{mdeg } F = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$.*

Proof. Let $r_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d_1 - 1\}$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$, be such that $d_i \equiv r_i \pmod{d_1}$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$. If there is an $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $r_i = 0$, then $d_i = kd_1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and by Proposition 2, there exists an automorphis F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the desired properties.

Thus we can assume that $r_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n$. Since $d_1 - 1 < n - 1$, then there are $i, j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, such that $r_i = r_j$. Without lose of generality we can assume that $i < j$. In this situation we have $d_j = d_i + kd_1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by Proposition 2 there exists an automorphis F of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the desired properies. $\square$

3. EXAMPLES

In this section we give some positive results about existence of tame automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with given multidegree (d_1, d_2, d_3) . The first one is the following.

Example 1. *For every $d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \leq d_2 \leq d_3$, there is a tame automorphis F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F = (2, d_2, d_3).$$

This is a consequence of Corollary 3.

Example 2. *For any $d_3 \geq 4$ such that $d_3 \neq 5$ there is a tame automorphis F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F = (3, 4, d_3).$$

Proof. We have

$$4 = 0 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 4$$

and

$$d_3 = \begin{cases} (2+k) \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 4 & \text{for } d_3 = 6 + 3k \\ (1+k) \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 4 & \text{for } d_3 = 7 + 3k \\ (0+k) \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4 & \text{for } d_3 = 8 + 3k \end{cases}.$$

Thus we can apply Proposition 2. $\square$

Example 3. *For any $d_3 \geq 5$ such that $d_3 \neq 7$ there is a tame automorphis F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F = (3, 5, d_3).$$

Proof. We have

$$5 = 0 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 5, \quad 6 = 2 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 5$$

and

$$d_3 = \begin{cases} (1+k) \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 8 + 3k \\ (3+k) \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 9 + 3k \\ (0+k) \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 10 + 3k \end{cases}.$$

Thus we can apply Proposition 2. $\square$

Example 4. *For any $d_3 \geq 5$ such that $d_3 \neq 6, 7, 11$ there is a tame automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F = (4, 5, d_3).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 5 &= 0 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5, & 8 &= 2 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 5, \\ 9 &= 1 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5, & 10 &= 0 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d_3 = \begin{cases} (3+k) \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 12 + 4k \\ (2+k) \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 13 + 4k \\ (1+k) \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 14 + 4k \\ (0+k) \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 5 & \text{for } d_3 = 15 + 4k \end{cases}.$$

Thus we can apply Proposition 2. $\square$

The above examples justifies the following question.

Question: Is there any automorphism (or tame automorphism) F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that

$$\text{mdeg } F \in \{(3, 4, 5), (3, 5, 7), (4, 5, 6), (4, 5, 7), (4, 5, 11)\}?$$

4. PARTIAL ANSWER

In this section we give partial answer for the question established in the last section. Namely we show the following

Theorem 4. *There is no tame automorphism $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F = (3, 4, 5).$$

Before we make a proof of Theorem 4 we recall some results and notions from the papers of Shestakov and Umirbayev [3, 4].

Definition 1. ([3], Definition 1) *A pair $f, g \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is called *-reduced if*

(i) *f, g are algebraically independent;*

(ii) *$\bar{f}, \bar{g}$ are algebraically dependent, where $\bar{h}$ denotes the highest homogeneous part of h ;*

(iii) *$\bar{f} \notin k[\bar{g}]$ and $\bar{g} \notin k[\bar{f}]$.*

Definition 2. ([3], Definition 1) *Let $f, g \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a *-reduced pair with $\deg f < \deg g$. Put $p = \frac{\deg f}{\gcd(\deg f, \deg g)}$. In this situation the pair f, g is called p -reduced pair.*

Theorem 5. ([3], Theorem 2) *Let $f, g \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a p -reduced pair, and let $G(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ with $\deg_y G(x, y) = pq + r, 0 \leq r < p$. Then*

$$\deg G(f, g) \geq q(p \deg g - \deg g - \deg f + \deg[f, g]) + r \deg g.$$

In the above theorem $[f, g]$ means the Poisson bracket of f and g , but for us it is only important that

$$\deg[f, g] = 2 + \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \deg \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

if f, g are algebraically independent, and $\deg[f, g] = 0$ if f, g are algebraically dependent.

Notice, also, that the estimation from Theorem 5 is true even if the condition (ii) of Definition 1 is not satisfied. Indeed, if $G(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x^i y^j$, then, by the algebraic independence of $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg G(f, g) &= \max_{i,j} \deg(a_{i,j} f^i g^j) \geq \deg_y G(x, y) \cdot \deg g = \\ &= (qp + r) \deg g \geq q(p \deg g - \deg f - \deg g + \deg[f, g]) + r \deg g. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of the fact that $\deg[f, g] \leq \deg f + \deg g$.

We will also use the following theorem.

Theorem 6. ([3], Theorem 3) *Let $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ be a tame automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$. If $\deg F_1 + \deg F_2 + \deg F_3 > 3$ (in other words if F is not a linear automorphism), then F admits either an elementary reduction or a reduction of types I-IV (see [3] Definitions 2-4).*

Let us recall that an automorphism $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ admits an elementary reduction if there exists a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and a permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $\deg(F_{\sigma(1)} - g(F_{\sigma(2)}, F_{\sigma(3)})) < \deg F_{\sigma(1)}$.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 4

Proof. (of Theorem 4) Assume that $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\text{mdeg } F = (3, 4, 5)$. We will show that this hypothetical automorphism (we do not know if there is any) can not be tame. First of all, notice that any pair F_i, F_j with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$, satisfies the conditions (i) and (iii) of Definition 1. Indeed, it follows by the fact that F_1, F_2, F_3 are algebraically independent and that $3, 4 \notin 5\mathbb{N}, 3, 5 \notin 4\mathbb{N}$ and $4, 5 \notin 3\mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 6 it is enough to show that F does not admit neither reductions of type I-IV nor elementary reduction.

By a contrary, assume that (F_1, F_2, F_3) admits a reduction of type I or II. Then by the definition (see [3] Definition 2 and 3), for some number $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and some permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $\deg F_{\sigma(1)} = 2n$ and $\deg F_{\sigma(2)} = ns$, where $s \geq 3$ is an odd number. But in the sequence 3, 4, 5 there is only one even number, namely 4. Thus $2n = 4, n = 2$ and then ns is, also, an even number, a contradiction.

Now assume, by a contrary, that (F_1, F_2, F_3) admits a reduction of type III or IV. Then by the definition (see [3] Definition 4), for some number $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and some permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $\deg F_{\sigma(1)} = 2n$ and either

$$(1) \quad \deg F_{\sigma(2)} = 3n, n < \deg F_{\sigma(3)} \leq \frac{3}{2}n$$

or

$$(2) \quad \frac{5}{2}n < \deg F_{\sigma(2)} \leq 3n, \deg F_{\sigma(3)} = \frac{3}{2}n.$$

Of course, as before, we have $2n = 4, n = 2$. Since $3n = 6$, then (1) is impossible, and since $\frac{5}{2}n = 5, 3n = 6$ and $\deg F_{\sigma(2)} \in \mathbb{N}$, then (2) is impossible. Thus we obtain a contradiction.

Thus, in order to show that (F_1, F_2, F_3) can not be a tame automorphism, by Theorem 6, it is enough to show that (F_1, F_2, F_3) does not admit an elementary reduction.

Let us assume that

$$(F_1, F_2, F_3 - g(F_1, F_2)),$$

where $g \in k[x, y]$, is an elementary reduction of (F_1, F_2, F_3) . Thus, in particular, we have $\deg g(F_1, F_2) = 5$. But it is impossible. Indeed, by Theorem 5, we have

$$(3) \quad \deg g(F_1, F_2) \geq q(pm - m - n + \deg[F_1, F_2]) + mr,$$

where $n = \deg F_1, m = \deg F_2, p = n / \text{GCD}(n, m)$ and $\deg_y g(x, y) = qp + r$ with $0 \leq r < p$. In our case we have $n = 3, m = 4, p = 3$. Since F_1, F_2 are algebraically independent, $\deg[F_1, F_2] \geq 2$. Thus (3) can be rewritten as follows

$$\deg g(F_1, F_2) \geq q(3 \cdot 4 - 4 - 3 + \deg[F_1, F_2]) + 4r.$$

Since, also, $3 \cdot 4 - 4 - 3 + \deg[F_1, F_2] = 5 + \deg[F_1, F_2] \geq 7 > 5$, then q must be zero, and r must be not greater than 1. This means that $g(F_1, F_2) = g_1(F_1) + g_2(F_1)F_2$ for

some $g_1, g_2 \in k[x]$. Since $3\mathbb{N} \cap (4+3\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$, then $\deg g(F_1, F_2) = \max\{3 \deg g_1, 4 + 3 \deg g_2\}$. But, since $5 \notin 3\mathbb{N} \cup (4 + 3\mathbb{N})$, then we obtain a contradiction.

Now, let us assume that

$$(F_1, F_2 - g(F_1, F_3), F_3),$$

where $g \in k[x, y]$, is an elementary reduction of (F_1, F_2, F_3) . In this case we have $\deg g(F_1, F_3) = 4$. But it is impossible. Indeed, by Theorem 5, we have

$$\deg g(F_1, F_3) \geq q(pm - m - n + \deg[F_1, F_2]) + mr,$$

where $n = 3, m = 5, p = 3$ and $\deg_y g(x, y) = 3q + r$ with $0 \leq r < 3$. Since $pm - m - n + \deg[F_1, F_2] = 7 + \deg[F_1, F_2] > 4$, then q must be zero. Also, r must be zero, because $m = 5 > 4$. Thus $g(F_1, F_3) = g(F_1)$, and then $\deg g(F_1, F_3) = 3 \deg g$. Since $4 \notin 3\mathbb{N}$, then we obtain a contradiction.

And finally, let us assume that

$$(F_1 - g(F_2, F_3), F_2, F_3),$$

where $g \in k[x, y]$, is an elementary reduction of (F_1, F_2, F_3) . Similarly, as before, we obtain

$$3 = \deg g(F_2, F_3) \geq q(4 \cdot 5 - 5 - 4 + \deg[F_2, F_3]) + 5r,$$

where $\deg_y g(x, y) = 4q + r$ with $0 \leq r < 4$. Then q and r must be zero. Thus $g(F_2, F_3) = g(F_2)$, and then $\deg g(F_2, F_3) = 4 \deg g$. Since $3 \notin 4\mathbb{N}$, then we obtain a contradiction. $\square$

In the similar way we can show the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *There is no tame automorphism F of $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that*

$$\text{mdeg } F \in \{(3, 5, 7), (4, 5, 7), (4, 5, 11)\}.$$

By above theorem, Theorem 4, Corollary 3 and examples from section 3 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *In the following statements mdeg is considered as a map from the set of all endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ into the set $\mathbb{N}^n$.*

- (i) *For all integers $d_3 \geq d_2 \geq 2$, $(2, d_2, d_n) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3))$.*
- (ii) *If $d_3 \geq 4$, then $(3, 4, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ if and only if $d_3 \neq 5$.*
- (iii) *If $d_3 \geq 5$, then $(3, 5, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3))$ if and only if $d_3 \neq 7$.*
- (iv) *If $d_3 \geq 5$ and $d_3 \neq 6, 7, 11$, then $(4, 5, d_3) \in \text{mdeg}(\text{Tame}(\mathbb{C}^3))$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] H.W.E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. reine angew. Math. 184 (1942), 161-174.
- [2] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomialrings in two variables*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde (3) 1 (1953), 33-41.
- [3] I.P. Shestakov, U.U. Umirbayev, *The Nagata automorphism is wild*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100 (2003), 12561-12563.
- [4] I.P. Shestakov, U.U. Umirbayev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), 197-227.

MAREK KARAŚ
INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
UNIwersytetu Jagiellońskiego
UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6
30-348 KRAKÓW
POLAND
e-mail: Marek.Karas@im.uj.edu.pl